PROOF FOUNDATIONS

(W) WEISER DEFINITION OF SLICING:

Given a program P, an slicing criterion $C=\langle v,s \rangle$ where v is a variable at statement s, and an slice S: If P halts on input I, then the value of v at statement s each time s is executed in P is the same in P and S. If P fails to terminate normally, s may be executed more times in S than in P, but P and S compute the same values for v each time s is executed by P.

(A) DATA DEPENDENCE:

We say there exists a data dependence between two expressions when the first expression defines the value of a variable and the second one uses this value in at least one of the possible program executions without being any other expression modifying it.

NOTE: We consider that the arguments passed in a function call and the parameters of that function are a specific case of data dependence where the expression changes its name.

(B) CONTROL DEPENDENCE:

There exists a control dependence between two expressions when the second expression cannot be evaluated without evaluating the first expression.

(C) SEQUENTIAL REDUNDANCE:

When the return expression of a block or a function (the last expression of the block in Erlang) is a variable defined in the previous expression, this can be deleted avoiding the definition of this variable and returning the result of the previous expression, taking this expression the last position of the block and being returned in consecuence.

(D) SYNTAX ERRROR:

We say there exists a syntax error in a program when the removal or modification of a chosen expression transforms the program into a non-executable state.

(E) SEMANTIC MODIFICATION:

There exists a semantic modification in an expression when the modification of one of its subexpressions modifies the behaviour of the whole expression.

(F) ABSORBING PROPERTY:

A clause of a conditional or a function statement is absorbing when its guard is always evaluated to true or its pattern always matches.

(G) FULL TEST VALIDATION:

There exists full test validation when an original program and a slice extracted from it can be executed with all possible input values of the original program and the values of the slicing criterion are the same in both executions.

NOTE: We consider in this definition also programs with slicing criteria that are independent of program inputs, where there is only one possible execution.

COLOUR LEGEND

Black: Expressions deleted by executing phase 1 (iterative slicing with the selected slicers)
Red: Expressions deleted by executing phase 2 (modified ORBS algorithm)
Green: Expressions remaining in the quasi-minimal slices
Orange: Slicing Criterion

NOTE1: We will not prove wether black expressions of the program code can be deleted or not because they have been deleted by phase 1. Phase 1 produces a complete slice of the original code, so we can guarantee that these expressions are not part of the slice.

NOTE2: Our slices keep the syntax of the original program (we are not interested in amorphous slices). However, in order to make the final slice executable, some modifications of the source code are compulsory (e.g., replacing calls to deleted functions with a constant called "undef"). Therefore, we allow for some modifications of the source code to produce executable slices. The modifications made never affect the behaviour of the source code, they just ensure that the final code is a valid Erlang program.

%_____ 8_____ %-- bench15.erl 8---%-- AUTHORS: Tamarit %-- DATE: 2015 %-- PUBLISHED: http://rosettacode.org/wiki/Combinations and permutations#Erlang (2016) Bencher: The Program Slicing Benchmark Suite for Erlang %-- COPYRIGHT: (Universitat Politècnica de València) 8--8-http://www.dsic.upv.es/~jsilva/slicing/bencher/ %-- DESCRIPTION %-- The program implements the procedure for making permutations and combinations in an %-- interval of numbers given. The inputs are the first and last numbers of the %-- permutation interval and the first and last number of the combination interval. The %-- output is the result of the permutations and combinations calculated. \$----------. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _____ <u>&_____</u>

-module(bench15).
-export([main/4]).

 $perm(N, K) \rightarrow$ N and K are %Given (A), necessary w.r.t. product(lists:seq($\underline{N} - \underline{K} + 1, \underline{N}$)). product(lists:seq(N - K + 1, N)).%product(lists:seq(N - K + 1, N)) cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replacing it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the integer to list(N) function call in the show_big function %Replace lists:seq(N-K+1,N)) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error in the product function %Replace N-K+1 or N with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error in the lists:seq function call %Replace N, K or 1 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC due to a badarith error %Given (A), N and K are necessary w.r.t. perm(N,K) $comb(N, K) \rightarrow$ %perm(N, K) div product(lists:seq(1, K)) cannot be deleted perm(N, K) div product(lists:seg(1, K)). because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the integer_to_list(N) $% \left(\left(N\right) \right) =\left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(N\right) \right) \left(\left(N\right) \left(\left(\left$ call of the show big function %perm(N,K) or product(lists:seq(1,K)) cannot be replaced with undef (NOTE3) because it would prevent to reach the SC due to a badarith error %Given (A), N and K in perm(N,K) function call are necessary w.r.t. the clause of the perm($\underline{N}, \underline{K}$) function %Replace lists:seq(1,K) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error in the product function %Replace 1 or K in lists:seq(1,K) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error product(List) -> %Given (A), List is necessary w.r.t. lists:foldl(fun(N,Acc) -> N * Acc end, 1, List) lists:foldl(fun(N, Acc) -> N * Acc end, 1, List). $lists:foldl(fun(N, Acc) -> N * Acc end, <math display="inline">\overline{1, List})$ cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the function. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error in the comb(N,K) %Replace fun(N,Acc)->N*Acc end, 1 or List with undef would prevent to reach the SC because of an execution error in the lists:foldl function %Given (A), N and Acc in fun(N,Acc) are necessary w.r.t. N*Acc %Replace N * Acc with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error in the comb(N,K) %Replace N or Acc in N * Acc with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error main(PFrom, PTo, CFrom, CTo) -> %Given (A), PFrom and PTo are necessary w.r.t. the if expression if (PTo - PFrom >= 10) -> ... %Given (A), CFrom and CTo are necessary w.r.t. the if expression if (CTo - CFrom >= 10) -> ... %Given (A), IncremP is necessary w.r.t. IncremP = if (PTo - PFrom >= 10) -> lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, <u>IncremP</u>) %Replace the if expression with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, IncremP) function call %This clause cannot be deleted because it would not be possible to satisfy (2), (4) & (5)%Replace (PTo - PFrom >= 10) with true would force this clause to fulfill (F) and it would prevent to satisfy (1)&(5) because of a matching error %Replace PTo - PFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC in (1)&(5) because of a matching error in the lists:seq function %Replace PTo or PFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error %Replace 10 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (2),(4)&(5) because this clause would become unreachable (PTo-PFrom) div 10; %(PTo-PFrom) div 10 cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq function call %Replace PTo-PFrom or 10 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error %Replace PTo or PFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to

reach the SC because of a badarith error

true =>%This clause cannot be deleted because it would prevent to satisfy (1)&(5) because of a matching error %1 cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (1)&(5) because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq function call end, %Given (A), IncremC is necessary w.r.t. IncremC = if (CTo - CFrom >= 10) -> lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC) %Replace the if expression with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC) function call %This clause cannot be deleted because it would not be possible to satisfy (3), (4) & (5)%Replace (CTo - CFrom >= 10) with true would force this clause to fulfill (F) and it would prevent to satisfy (1) & (5) because of a matching error %Replace CTo - CFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC in (1)&(5) because of a matching error in the lists:seq function %Replace CTo or CFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error %Replace 10 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (3), (4) & (5) because this clause would become unreachable (CTo-CFrom) div 10; %(CTo-CFrom) div 10 cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq function call %Replace CTo-CFrom or 10 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error %Replace CTo or CFrom with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error true -> %This clause cannot be deleted because it would prevent to satisfy (1)&(5) because of a matching error %1 cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (1)&(5) because of a bad argument error in the lists:seq function call and io:format("\nPermutations from ~p to ~p:\n",[PFrom,PTo]), L1=[show_perm({N, N div 3}) || N <- lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, IncremP)],</pre> %Delete L1=[show perm({N, N div 3}) || N <-</pre> lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, IncremP)] would prevent to satisfy (2), (4) & (5)%The expression show_perm({N, N div 3}) cannot be replaced with undef (NOTE3) because it would prevent to satisfy (2), (4) & (5)%Replace {N, N div 3} with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error in the show perm function %Given (A), N and N div 3 are necessary w.r.t. show perm({N,K}) %Replace N or 3 in N div 3 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error %Replace N <- lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, IncremP) with undef</pre> (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of an unbound variable error. This could be avoided by replacing show_perm({N, N div 3}) with undef but this would prevent to satisfy (2),(4)&(5) %Given (A), N is necessary w.r.t. show_perm({ \underline{N} , \underline{N} div 3}) %Replace lists:seq(PFrom, PTo, IncremP) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad generator error %Replace PFrom, PTo or IncremP with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error io:format("\nCombinations from ~p to ~p:\n",[CFrom,CTo]), L2=[show comb({N, N div 3}) || N <- lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC)], %Delete L2=[show_comb({N, N div 3}) || N <-</pre> lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC)] would prevent to satisfy (3), (4) & (5) $The expression show comb({N, N div 3}) cannot be replaced with undef (NOTE3) because it would prevent to satisfy$ (3), (4) & (5)%Replace {N, N div 3} with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error in the show comb %Given (A), N and N div 3 are necessary w.r.t. show comb({N,K}) %Replace N or 3 in N div 3 with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a badarith error

%Replace N <- lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC) with undef</pre> (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of an unbound variable error. This could be avoided by replacing show_comb($\{N, N \text{ div } 3\}$) with undef but this would prevent to satisfy (3), (4) & (5) %Given (A), N is necessary w.r.t. show_comb({N, N div 3}) %Replace lists:seq(CFrom, CTo, IncremC) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad generator %Replace CFrom, CTo or IncremC with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error {L1,L2}. %Given (A), N and K are necessary w.r.t. show perm($\{N, K\}$) -> show_gen(N, K, "perm", fun perm/2)
%show_gen(N, K, "perm", fun perm/2) show_gen(N, K, "perm", fun perm/2). because it is the only function of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (2),(4)&(5) %N, K and fun perm/2 are necessary w.r.t. show gen(N, K, StrFun, Fun) %Given (A), N and K are necessary w.r.t. show comb($\{N, K\}$) -> show_gen(\underline{N} , \underline{K} , "comb", fun perm/2) %show gen(N, K, "comb", fun perm/2) cannot be deleted show gen(N, K, "comb", fun comb/2). because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to satisfy (3), (4) $\&\,(5)$ %N, K and fun perm/2 are necessary w.r.t. show_gen(N, K, StrFun, Fun) show gen(N, K, StrFun, Fun) -> %Given (A), N, K and Fun are necessary w.r.t. show_big($\underline{Fun}(\underline{N}, \underline{K}), 40$) io:format("~s(~p, ~p) = ~s\n", [StrFun, N, K, show big(Fun(N, K), 40)]). %This function call cannot be deleted because it is the only expression of the clause. Replace it with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because the only call to the function containing the SC would be deleted %Replace [StrFun, N, K, show_big(Fun(N, K), 40)] with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because the only call to the function containing the SC would be deleted %Replace show big(Fun(N, K), 40) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because the only call to the function containing the SC would be deleted %Given (A), Fun(N,K) and 40 are necessary w.r.t. show_big(N,Limit) %Given (A), Fun, N and K are necessary w.r.t. perm(N,K) and comb(N,K). From the function calls we can ensure Fun would only be evaluated as perm (fun perm/2) or comb (fun comb/2). In consequence, these two functions are necessary show big(N, Limit) -> %Given (A), N is necessary w.r.t. $StrN = integer_to_list(\underline{N})$ %Given (A), is necessary w.r.t. lists:split(Limit,StrN) StrN = integer to list(N), %Given StrN is necessarv wrt lists:split(Limit,StrN) %Replace integer_to_list(N) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error in the lists:split(Limit, StrN) function call %Replace N with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a bad argument error %The case expression cannot be deleted because the SC would case length(StrN) < Limit of</pre> be deleted true -> %Delete this clause would prevent to reach the SC in (1), (2), (3), (4) & (5) because of a matching error in the case expression. This could be avoided by replacing the atom false in the second clause with (NOTE3) but this would produce a bad argument error in the lists:split(Limit,StrN) function call StrN cannot be deleted because it is the only expression StrN: of the clause and, in consequence, one possible returned value of the function. The execution of this clause prevents the execution of the SC because it is located in another case clause. Besides, the returned value of the show_big function is not used so we can replace StrN with false -> %This clause cannot be deleted because the SC would be deleted %The case expression is a logic operator with two possible result values. Every time the expression value is not evaluated to true it would be evaluated to false. For this

reason, this clause would always match and it could be replaced with (NOTE3) {Shown, Hidden} = lists:split(Limit, StrN), %Delete {Shown, Hidden} = lists:split(Limit, StrN) would prevent to reach the SC because it would be deleted %{Shown, Hidden} cannot be deleted because the SC would be deleted %Shown cannot be deleted because it is the SC %Hidden can be deleted because it is a definition never used in the case clause. There are no dependences between this expression and any other expression of the minimal slice %Replace lists:split(Limit,StrN) with undef (NOTE3) would prevent to reach the SC because of a matching error %Limit and StrN are necessary because the split function is a remote function from the erlang library with unavailable code and replace any of them with undef (NOTE3) generates a bad argument error io lib:format("~s... (~p more digits)", [Shown, length(Hidden)]) %This function call is executed after executing the SC. Its returned value would be the returned value of the function, but this value is ignored by the call. In consequence, this function call is not part of the minimal slice end. EXECUTION RESULTS: (1) length(StrN) < Limit (40) \rightarrow PFrom=10, PTo=11, CFrom=10, CTo=11 SC = Ø (2) length(StrN) >= Limit (40) (only permutations reach the SC) -> PFrom=10, PTo=100, CFrom=10, CTo=100 SC = "7348756761981745310122665400468242432000"

PFrom=10, PTo=20, CFrom=100, CTo=200 SC = "2012866090973193229424023438092931574814" "8992020174162522182780011697557819673596" "4013019920405431646773873074108293136261" "3609131684164724595222958871677724514800" "1620700576816526776553389120238895007742" "7269752545169278341527066651192738976755" (4) length(StrN) >= Limit (40) (combinations and permutations reach the SC) -> SC = "4932727141604185208164528830451286016000" PFrom=40, PTo=80, CFrom=120, CTo=170 "1215570824393686341886172653300982218752" "3100342693830940887921839937119444375961" "2012866090973193229424023438092931574814"

SC = "2651359514226532508343391454309336687621" PFrom=199, PTo=200, CFrom=199, CTo=200 "3957253006308257475139390230312442817345"

"7269752545169278341527066651192738976755"

- "3744086576804565341377611065881336280933" "2663621415324572950624286295858955647415" "2558907943695265433009430642062643453871" (3) length(StrN) >= Limit (40) (only combinations reach the SC) ->
- "2997898902598578662838779968874741053767" "8992020174162522182780011697557819673596" "2689763970712379720697950994200557222536" "4013019920405431646773873074108293136261" (5) length(StrN) >= Limit (40) (combinations and permutations reach the SC) ->
 - "4870734205263416488823134656299135114425"